



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,227	11/01/2005	Karla S. Colle	2003UR028	4245
7590 Gerald D Malpass Jr ExxonMobil Upstream Research Company Corp Urc Sw 348 PO Box 2189 Houston, TX 77252-2189			EXAMINER KUGEL, TIMOTHY J	
			ART UNIT 1796	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/18/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/555,227

Applicant(s)

COLLE ET AL.

Examiner

Timothy J. Kugel

Art Unit

1796

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Timothy J. Kugel.

(3) _____.

(2) Adam Brown.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21-23 and 26-57.

Identification of prior art discussed: 4072607 (Schiller), 5600044 (Colle).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has pointed out that, contrary to examiner's position in the previous Office action, the PAM value in the Ball Stop Test of Colle is not an indication of inhibition as Colle shows that a value of 3 times the control is required to show threshold inhibition. Examiner agrees that this distinguishes over Schiller and encouraged a request for reconsideration raising this issue.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Timothy J. Kugel/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796